



Republika Kosova - Republic of Kosovo
Qeveria - Vlada - Government

Ministria e Administrimit të Pushtetit Lokal
Ministarstvo Administracije Lokalne Samouprave
Ministry of Local Government Administration

Performance report of 2024 for

MUNICIPAL PERFORMANCE GRANT

for the fiscal year 2026

Annual report

With technical and financial support by:



Co-funded by
the European Union

 Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft
Confédération suisse
Confederazione Svizzera
Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Agency for Development
and Cooperation SDC



Sweden
Sverige

Table of content

General Summary	3
1. Introduction- Municipal Performance Evaluation for the 2026 grant.....	4
2. Municipal Performance Grant for 2026 – Evaluation results	7
2.1 Results of minimum conditions	7
2.2 Results of the Municipal Performance Grant indicators	9
2.2.1 General results for Municipal Performance Grant indicators	9
2.2.2 Results for MPG indicators in fields and sub-fields	12
2.2.2.1 MPG performance in the field of Democratic Governance	13
2.2.2.2 MPG's performance in the field of "Municipal Management"	15
2.2.2.3 MPG's performance in the field " service delivery"	16
2.2.3 Results in the MPG indicators.....	17
2.2.3 Municipal performance grant for 2026 – grant amounts	19
Appendix 1 Assessment of minimum conditions	21
Appendix 2 MPG Indicators Assessment , by fields, sub-fields, indicators	22
Appendix 3 Grant Allocation	26
Appendix 4 Summary of result of individual performance of the municipalities	28
Annex 5 Municipal Performance Grant for 2026 - Assessment Methodology	66

General Summary

The Municipal Performance Grant is provided in the form of financial support for municipalities and aims to stimulate them to improve their performance in the field of local democratic governance, municipal management and service delivery.

The Municipal Performance Grant for the fiscal year 2026, based on the performance of 2024, reaches the amount of 3.110.000 euros and is co-financed by the Ministry of Local Government Administration (MLGA), the European Union, Switzerland and Sweden.

All municipalities of Kosovo have access to this Grant. However, the beneficiaries of this Grant are the municipalities that meet the minimum conditions. For all municipalities that have met the minimum conditions, the grant amount that each municipality receives is based on the performance achieved in the performance indicators compared to the performance of all other municipalities, as well as other elements of the assessment criteria. For the performance of 2024, 29 indicators have been assessed, with a maximum achievable score of 98 points.

Of the 38 evaluated municipalities, 5 municipalities (13.15%) have met all four minimum conditions and will be beneficiaries of the Municipal Performance Grant in 2026. The beneficiary municipalities are Dragash/Dragaš, Klina, Rahovec/Orahovac, Mamushë/Mamuša, and Partesh/Parteš.

Only 33 municipalities have is reported for the performance of 2024. However, we have evaluated 38 municipalities, and the overall performance of all municipalities 41.8% (40.9 points out of a total of 98 possible points), while the average performance of the municipalities that have qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant is 46.3% (45.4 points out of a total of 98 possible points).

The municipality with the highest performance across the 29 performance indicators in 2024 is the Municipality of Gillogovc/Glogovac, with an overall score of 75 out of 98 possible points (76.5%). Among all municipalities, the lowest reported performance was recorded by the Municipality of Novobërdë/Novo Brdo, with an overall score of 2 out of 98 possible points (2.04%).

The five beneficiary municipalities achieved overall performance scores ranging from 11 points (11.2%) to 72 points (73.4%).

Among the beneficiary municipalities of the Municipal Performance Grant (MPG), the highest performance was achieved by the Municipality of Rahovec/Orahovac, scoring 72 out of 98 possible points (73.4%). Among the beneficiary municipalities, the lowest performance was recorded by the Municipality of Partesh/Parteš, with 11 out of 98 possible points (11.2%).

The five beneficiary municipalities achieved overall performance scores ranging from 11 points (11.2%) to 72 points (73.4%).

The highest amount of the Grant obtained for the fiscal year 2026 is 1,195,982.20 euros benefited by the Municipality of Rahovec/Orahovac, while the lowest is 25,262.00 euros, benefited by the municipality of Partesh/Parteš.

The value of the Municipal Performance Grant is between 0.9%-42.7%% of the General Grant to the beneficiary municipalities.

1. Introduction- Municipal Performance Evaluation for the 2026 grant

The Ministry of Local Government Administration (MLGA) in partnership with the Swiss Agency for Cooperation and Development (SDC), in 2017 developed and agreed to co-finance the municipal performance grant allocation scheme (hereafter MPG)). In 2019, Sweden and Norway and in 2022 the EU through Sweden have decided to join the MPG financing scheme.

The municipal performance grant for 2026 is co-financed by MLGA, EU, Sweden, Switzerland. The total amount available is 3,110, 000 euros. In order to monitor the impact of the projects on the citizens, the social audit has been established within the MPG.

The table below provides details regarding financing sources and the amount of MPG that will be allocated to municipalities in fiscal year 2026.

Table 1 performance grant financing sources for fiscal year 2026 (in euros)

Funding sources	MPG value for fiscal year 2026
Government of the Republic of Kosovo / MLGA	2,000,000 euro
Contributors (EU, Switzerland, Sweden)	1,110,000 euro
Funds that will be allocated to municipalities, MPG 2026	3,110,000 euro

The municipal performance grant evaluation process for the fiscal year 2026, based on the municipal performance of the year 2024, was carried out in November 2025, in accordance with the minimum conditions and indicators defined in the Performance Grant Rules for the fiscal year 2026.

The Municipal Performance Grant Commission (MPGC) and the Technical Group (TG) are the bodies responsible for evaluating and allocating the municipal performance grant. The complaints commission examines and decides on eventual complaints by municipalities.

To benefit from the Municipal Performance Grant (MPG) of 2026, municipalities must meet four minimum conditions:

Minimum Conditions	
KM1	Municipalities must have reported the data to the MPMS according to the deadline defined in Article 19.2 of the Law on MPMS/MPG;
KM2	Municipalities must have complied with the legal obligations to review municipal acts assessed as illegal by the supervisory authority
KM3	Audit opinion should be at least unmodified with emphasis of matter
KM4	Municipalities must have spent 75% or more of the final budget on capital investments

The Municipal Performance Grant (MPG) for the fiscal year 2026 will be allocated to municipalities in accordance with the points achieved in municipal performance based on indicators in three main areas: (i) democratic governance, (ii) municipal management, and (iii) service delivery .

These areas are further divided into ten sub -areas as shown in Table 2. Within these ten sub-areas, 30 performance indicators have been identified, however, for the MPG to be allocated in the fiscal year 2026, based on the municipal performance for the year 2024, 29 indicators will be evaluated with a total number of 98 points.

Performance indicators numbered 16b, Level of addressing the recommendations of the internal auditor remain frozen for the performance of 2024.

Table 2 Three main areas and ten sub-areas with maximum points for the grant to be allocated in fiscal year 2026

#	Fields, sub-fields and indicators	# of max. points	# of points that will be evaluated in MPG 2026
MUNICIPAL GOVERNANCE - The role of the Municipal Assembly, Participation and Inclusion of Citizens, Transparency and Accountability		40	40
I	Role of the municipal assembly as an oversight body	12	12
1	Timely approval of the annual municipal budget proposal	2	2
2	Discussion of quarterly budget reports by the Municipal Assembly	4	4
3	Discussion on the municipal performance report by the Municipal Assembly for the previous year	2	2
4	Discussion of the report of the external auditor and the action plan for addressing the recommendations in the Municipal Assembly	2	2
5	Meeting of the Municipal Assembly with the participation of the Mayor	2	2
II	Participation, consultation and inclusiveness of citizens	12	12
6	Participation of citizens in public consultations, disaggregated by gender	4	4
7	Municipal acts and local policy documents consulted with the public	4	4
8	Public hearings on MTBF and municipal budget (proportional to # of inhabitants)	4	4
III	Transparency, access to information and integrity	16	16
9	Assembly meetings made public and broadcast live online	4	4
10	Fulfilling the criteria of the official website of the municipality	3	3
11	Publication of public procurement documents of the municipality	3	3
12	Publication of reports on public consultation processes	4	4
13	Reporting of the annual integrity plan to the Municipal Assembly	2	2
II. MUNICIPAL MANAGEMENT - Financial Management, Contract Management and HR Management		30	28
IV	Financial management	13	11
14	Level of updating the property tax register	3	3
15	Level of property tax bill collection (no debts, interest, penalties)	5	5
16 a	Level of addressing the recommendations of the National Audit Office	3	3
16 b	Level of addressing the recommendations of the internal auditor	2	0
V	Contract management	7	7
17	Level of implementation of the procurement plan	3	3

18	Compilation and publication of the list of municipal properties planned for commissioning	4	4
VI	Human resource management	10	10
19	Preparation of the plan for personnel development	2	2
20	Women in leadership positions in educational, health and cultural institutions	5	5
21	Women appointed to political positions in the municipality	3	3
III. SERVICE DELIVERY - Access and quality of service delivery - by sector		30	30
VII	Administrative services	6	6
22	Simplified administrative services to reduce the administrative burden at the municipal level	4	4
23	Reviewed applications for construction permits	2	2
VIII	Spatial planning, public transport and the environment	8	8
24	The surface of the territory of the municipalities covered by development plans of the municipality	3	3
25	Settlements involved in local public transport	3	3
26	Implementation of the Local Environmental Action Plan	2	2
IX	Pre-university education	8	8
27	Fulfilment of the required conditions with infrastructure, equipment and tools in pre-university education institutions	4	4
28	Level of compliance with the target student-to-teacher ratio	4	4
X	Primary health care	8	8
29	Primary health care units with laboratory equipment and services according to administrative instructions	4	4
30	Level of compliance with family medicine and oral health teams	4	4
Total points		100	98


Performance evaluation for the 2026 grant was made for all municipalities of the Republic of Kosovo. Details related to the methodology, process, data collection, are detailed in appendix 5.

2. Municipal Performance Grant for 2026 – Evaluation results

2.1 Results of minimum conditions


Of the 38 municipalities of the Republic of Kosovo, 5 municipalities 13.15% have met the four minimum conditions and will be the beneficiary municipalities of the municipal performance grant in 2026. The beneficiary municipalities are the municipalities of Dragash/Dragaš, Klina, Rahovec/Orahovac, Mamusha/ and Partesh/Parteš.

Chart 1 The number of municipalities that have met the MC for the performance of 2024



- 33 out of 38 municipalities or 86.8% have met the first minimum condition (municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS according to the established deadline);
- 33 out of 38 municipalities or 86.8% have met the second minimum condition (municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review the municipal acts assessed as illegal by the supervisory authority).


Chart 2 The number of municipalities that have met the MC 1,2,3 and 4



- 7 of the 38 municipalities or 18.4 % have met the third minimum condition (the audit opinion must be at least unmodified with an emphasis on the issue).
- 28 out of 38 municipalities or 73.7% have met the fourth minimum condition (Municipalities must have spent 75% or more of the final budget for capital investments).

The summary of the results of the minimum conditions for all municipalities is given in appendix number 1, while appendix number 4 gives a summary of the results for each municipality separately.

Chart 3 The number of municipalities that have not met any MC,




Moreover, as can be seen from the graph of 38 municipalities;

- The 4 minimum conditions have been met by 5 municipalities or 13.15%, which are beneficiaries of MPG for the fiscal year 2026.
- 3 minimum conditions have been met by 21 municipalities or 55.3%.
- 2 minimum conditions have been met by 7 municipalities or 18.4%.
- One minimum condition has been met by 4 municipalities (10.5%).
- No minimum condition has been reached by one municipality or 2.6%.

Table 3 Municipalities that have met 4, 3, 2, 1 or no minimum conditions

Minimum conditions	4 MC	3 MC	2 MC	1 MC	No MC
Municipalities	Dragash/ Dragaš, Kline/Klina, Mamushe /Mamuša, Partesh/ Parteš, Rahovec/ Orahovac,	Decan/ Dečane, Ferizaj/ Uroševac Gjakova/ Đakovica, Gjilan/Gnjilane, Glogovac/Glogovac Hani i Elezit/Elez Han Istog/Istok Kamenica/Kamenica, Lipjan/Lipljane Malisheva Mališevo, South Mitrovica, Novoberde/ Novo Brdo Obiliq/ Obilić, Peja/ Peć, Prizren/Prizren, Podujeve/Podujevo, Northern Mitrovica, Shtime/ Štimlje, Skenderaj/Srbica, Suhareka/Suvareka, Vitia/Vitina.	FushëKosove/ Kosovo Polje , Graçanica/Gračanica Junik, Kaçanik/ Kačanik, Ranillug/Ranilug Vushtrri/ Vučitrn, Zvečan Zvečan	Leposavic/ Leposavić, Prishtina/ Priština, Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok, Klllokot/ Klokot	Shterpce/ Štrpce

Chart 4 Municipalities that have reached the minimum conditions GPK 2023-2025



MPG 2026 has recorded a decline compared to MPG 2025, decreasing from 12 to 5 municipalities that have fulfilled the minimum conditions.

2.2 Results of the Municipal Performance Grant indicators

2.2.1 General results for Municipal Performance Grant indicators

Only 33 municipalities¹ have reported on the performance of 2024 in the Municipal Performance Management System. Despite the fact that 5 municipalities are beneficiaries of the MPG, we have measured the performance of all municipalities. The summarized results are presented below:



Overall performance of the 38 municipalities in the 29 performance indicators for the year 2024, MPG 2026 is 41.8% (40.9 points out of a total of 98 possible points), while the average performance of the 5 municipalities that have qualified for the municipal performance grant is 46.3% (45.4 points out of a total of 90 possible points).



The overall performance of the 38 municipalities declined in 2024 to 41.8%, compared to 47.7% in 2023 and 42.1% in 2022.



17 of the 38 municipalities (44.7%) have achieved an overall performance above 50% in the 29 performance indicators. Whereas, among the municipalities benefiting from MPG 2025, 3 out of 5 or 60% have achieved above average performance.




The municipality with the highest performance in the 29 performance indicators of 2024 is the Municipality of Gllogovc. The overall performance score of the Municipality of Gllogovc is 75 out of 98 possible points (76.5%). Meanwhile, among the beneficiary municipalities of the MPG, the highest performance was achieved by the Municipality of Rahovec, with 72 out of 98 possible points (73.5%). Among all municipalities, the lowest reported performance was recorded by the Municipality of Novobërdë/Novo Brdo, with 2.04%. Whereas, Meanwhile, among the beneficiary municipalities, the municipalities with the lowest performance is the municipality of Partesh with a performance of 11 points or 11.2%.

The 5 beneficiary municipalities have achieved an overall performance between 11 (11.2%) and 72 points (73.5 %).


¹ The municipalities that have not reported are Leposaviq, Shterpce, Zubin Potok, Zveçan, Kllokot,

Chart 5 The average performance of all municipalities in the MPG in the last three years



As can be observed from the charts above, the average performance of all municipalities in the Municipal Performance Grant indicators has experienced both increases and decreases over the past three years. Compared to 2025, the average performance has decreased by 5.93%.

Chart 6 The average performance of beneficiary municipalities in the MPG in the last three years



Meanwhile, among the beneficiary municipalities of the Municipal Performance Grant, the average performance has declined from 60.2% in the previous year to 46.33% in the current year, representing a decrease of 13.87%.

Below are presented the general results of the performance of each municipality separately.

Chart 7 Overall performance in 29 MPG indicators by municipalities in percentage






Chart 8 The overall performance of the beneficiary municipalities in 29 MPG indicators in percentage



2.2.2 Results for MPG indicators in fields and sub-fields

The average performance of the 38 municipalities in the field of 'Democratic Governance' is 51.6 %, 'Municipal Management' 33.6% and 'Service Delivery' 36.3%.


Chart 9 Average percentage performance by fields



For the performance of 2024, the municipalities had a higher performance in the field of democratic governance.

Also, even among the beneficiary municipalities, the municipalities had a higher performance in the same field.

Chart 10 Average performance of municipalities in % by fields in the last three years



The results of performance grant indicators for all municipalities by fields, subfields, indicators are presented in Appendix 2.

2.2.2.1 MPG performance in the field of Democratic Governance

The average performance of the 38 municipalities across the 12 indicators assessed under the topic “Democratic Governance” is 20.6 out of a maximum of 40 possible points (51.6%).

The municipality with the highest performance in this field is Obiliq/Obilić, with 39 points (97.5%). Among the beneficiary municipalities, Rahovec/Orahovac achieved the highest performance in democratic governance, with 38 points (95%).

Novo Brdo/Novobërdë recorded the lowest overall performance, with 0 points, followed by North Mitrovica, which scored 4 points (10%) in this field. Among the beneficiary municipalities, Parteš/Partesh recorded the lowest performance, with 7 points (17.5%).

Chart 11 Highest, average and lowest performance in Democratic Governance





Chart 12 The performance of municipalities in the sub-fields of Democratic Governance



In the sub-field "Role of the Municipal Assembly" as a supervisory body, the overall average performance for 5 evaluated indicators is 6.9 points out of 12 possible points (57.2%).

In the sub-field "Participation, consultation and inclusiveness of citizens", the overall average performance for 3 evaluated indicators is 4.9 points out of 12 possible points (40.8%).

In the sub-field "Transparency, access to information and integrity", the overall average performance for 5 evaluated indicators is 8.9 points out of 16 possible points (55.4%).

Municipalities had the highest performance under the field "Role of the Municipal Assembly".

2.2.2.2 MPG's performance in the field of "Municipal Management"

The average performance of the 38 municipalities in the 7 indicators assessed within the field "Municipal Management" is 9.4 points out of a total of 28 possible points in this field (33.5%). The municipalities with the highest performance in this area are: Prizren municipality 22 points (78.6%) and Glogovac/Glogovac municipality with 20 points or 71.4%.

Whereas, the municipality of Novo Brdo/Novobërdë had the lowest performance with 0 points in this field, was, followed by municipality of Partesh/ Parteš had the lowest performance with 2 points or 7.1%.

Chart 13 The highest, average and lowest performance in Municipal Management





Figure 14 The performance of municipalities in the sub-fields of Municipal Management



In the "Financial Management" sub-field, the overall average performance for 2.5 evaluated indicators is 4.1 points out of 11 possible points (14.6%).

In the sub-field "Management of the contract" the overall average performance for 2 evaluated indicators is 4.2 points out of 7 possible points (59.4 %).

In the sub-field "Management of human resources", the overall average performance for 2 evaluated indicators is 3.6 points out of 10 possible points (36.3%).

The municipalities had the highest performance under the field "Contract Management".

2.2.2.3 MPG's performance in the field " service delivery"

The average performance of the 38 municipalities across the 8 indicators assessed under the field "Service Delivery" is 10.9 out of a maximum of 30 possible points (36.3%). The municipalities with the highest performance in this field are Lipjan/Lipljan, with 24 points (80%), and Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje, with 23 points (76.7%).

North Mitrovica, as well as the municipalities of Ranilug/Ranillug, Novo Brdo/Novobërdë, and Parteš/Partesh (a beneficiary municipality), recorded the lowest performance in this field, each scoring 2 points (6.7%).

Chart 15 Highest, average and lowest performance in Service Delivery





Figure 16 The performance of municipalities in the sub-fields of providing services



In the "Administrative Services " sub-field, the overall average performance for 2 evaluated indicator is 4.1 points out of 6 possible points (68.4%).

In the sub-field "Spatial planning, public transport and environment", the overall average performance for 3 evaluated indicators is 2.2 points out of 8 possible points (27.6%).

In the sub-field "Pre-university education", the overall average performance for 3 evaluated indicators is 1.3 points out of 8 possible points (16.4%).

In the sub-field "Primary health care", the overall average performance for 2 evaluated indicators is 3.3 points out of 8 possible points (40.8%).

The municipalities had the highest performance under the field "Administrative Services".

2.2.3 Results in the MPG indicators

At the indicator level, municipalities achieved the highest performance in the indicator "Fulfilment of the official municipal website criteria," with 82.5%, as well as in the indicators "Preparation of the personnel development plan" and "Public hearings for the MTBF and the municipal budget," each with 81.6%. In contrast, the indicator with the lowest performance was "Level of property tax bill collection," with only 3.2%.

Table 4 Results of municipalities at the level of indicators

Fields, subfields and indicators		Average per indicator
"MUNICIPAL GOVERNANCE - Role of the Municipal Assembly, Participation and Inclusion of Citizens, Transparency and Accountability"		51.6%
I	Role of the municipal assembly as an oversight body	57.2%
1	Timely approval of the annual municipal budget proposal	63.2%
2	Discussion on the quarterly budget reports from the Municipal Assembly	68.4%
3	Discussion on the municipal performance report from Municipal Assembly for the previous year	44.7%
4	Discussion of the report of the external auditor and the action plan for addressing the recommendations in the Municipal Assembly	57.9%
5	Meetings of the Municipal Assembly with the participation of the Mayor	40.8%
II	Participation, consultation and inclusiveness of citizens	40.8%
6	"Citizens' participation in public consultations, disaggregated by gender"	19.1%
7	Municipal acts and local policy documents consulted with the public	40.8%
8	Public hearings for MTBF and municipal budget (proportional to # of inhabitants)	62.5%
III	Transparency, access to information and integrity	55.4%
9	Assembly meetings made public and broadcast live online	53.9%
10	Fulfilling the criteria of the official website of the municipality	82.5%
11	Publication of public procurement documents of the municipality	65.8%
12	Publication of reports on public consultation processes	38.2%
13	Reporting of the annual integrity plan to the Municipal Assembly	36.8%
"II. MUNICIPAL MANAGEMENT - Financial Management, Contract Management and HR Management"		33.6%
IV	Financial management	14.6%
14	Level of updating the property tax register	20.2%
15	Level of property tax bill collection (no debts, interest, penalties)	3.2%
16 a	Level of addressing the recommendations of the National Audit Office	28.1%
16 b	Level of addressing internal auditor's recommendations	0.0%
V	Contract management	59.4%
17	Level of implementation of the procurement plan	64.5%
18	Compilation and publication of the list of municipal properties planned for commissioning	59.4%
VI	Human resource management	36.3%
19	Preparation of the plan for personnel development	81.6%
20	Women in leadership positions in educational, health and cultural institutions	24.7%
21	Women appointed to political positions in the municipality	25.4%

III. SERVICE DELIVERY - Access and quality of service delivery by sector		36.3%
VII	Administrative services	68.4%
22	Simplified administrative services to reduce the administrative burden at the municipal level	67.1%
23	Reviewed applications for construction permits	71.1%
VIII	Spatial planning, public transport and the environment	27.6%
24	Surface of the territory of the municipalities covered according to the development plans of the municipality	41.2%
25	Settlements included in local public transport	25.4%
26	Implementation of the Local Environmental Action Plan	10.5%
IX	Pre-university education	16.4%
27	Completion of the required conditions with infrastructure, equipment and tools in pre-university education institutions	13.2%
28	Level of compliance with the target student-to-teacher ratio	19.7%
X	Primary health care	40.8%
29	Primary health units with laboratory equipment and services according to administrative instructions	56.6%
30	Level of compliance with family medicine and oral health teams	25.0%
Average overall performance		41.8%

2.2.3 Municipal performance grant for 2026 – grant amounts

Based on the results of the minimum conditions and performance indicators, the grant allocation table has been completed. The amount of the municipal performance grant allocation is determined by (i) the relative performance of each municipality for the year 2024 measured according to the defined performance indicators and (ii) the weight of the municipal performance grant in the total grant allocated for the year 2026.

Table 5 Allocation of MPG 2026 for beneficiary municipalities

Details of how the allocation of the municipal performance grant for 2026 was calculated are provided in appendix 5.

2026 Grant Allocation Table							
					Total value for MPG		3,110,000
Reward for the municipality with the maximum points:					5.0%	155,500	
Reward for the second municipality with the maximum points:					3.0%	93,300	
Reward for the third municipality with the maximum points:					2.0%	62,200	(311,000.00)
Available for formula-based allocation:						311,000	2,799,000.00
#	Municipality	Total grant allocation Circular 01/2025	Evaluation results		Calculated value for MPG	Reward	Total value of MPG
			MC Yes=1 No=0	Evaluation points			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(5)	(6)	(9)	(11)	(12)
	Dragash/Dragaš	7,272,043	YES	60	790,537.56	93,300.00	883,837.56
	Klinë/Klina	6,571,819	YES	58	690,602.92	62,200.00	752,802.92
	Mamushë/Mamuša	2,050,963	YES	26	96,615.32		96,615.32
	Parteš/Partesh	1,267,536	YES	11	25,262.00		25,262.00
	Rahovec/Orahovac	9,168,059	YES	72	1,195,982.20	155,500.00	1,351,482.20
Total/Average					2,799,000	311,000	3,110,000

Appendix 1 Assessment of minimum conditions

MC1	Municipalities must have reported the data to the MPMS according to the established deadline;							
MC2	Municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review the municipal acts deemed illegal by the supervisory authority							
MC3	The audit opinion must be at least unmodified with an emphasis on matter;							
MC4	Municipalities must have spent 75% or more of the budget on capital investments;							
#	Municipality	Performance of 2024, MPG 2026						Remarks
		MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC Sum	Evaluation of MCs	
1	Deçan/Deçane	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
2	Dragash/Dragaš	1	1	1	1	4	1	Municipality has been qualified
3	Ferizaj/Uroševac	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
4	Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje	1	1	0	0	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
5	Gjakovë/Đakovica	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
6	Gjilan/Gnjilane	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
7	Glogovac/Glogovac	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
8	Gračanica/Gračanicë	1	0	0	1	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
9	Hani i Elezit/Elez Han	1	1	1	0	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
10	Istog/Istok	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
11	Junik/Junik	1	1	0	0	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
12	Kaçanik/Kaçanik	1	0	0	1	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
13	Kamenicë/Kamenica	1	1	1	0	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
14	Klinë/Klina	1	1	1	1	4	1	Municipality has been qualified
15	Klokot Vrbovac/Klokot Vërbovc	0	1	0	0	1	0	Municipality has not been qualified
16	Leposavić/Leposaviq	0	1	0	0	1	0	Municipality has not been qualified
17	Lipjan/Lipljan	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
18	Malishevë/Mališevo	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
19	Mamushë/Mamuša	1	1	1	1	4	1	Municipality has been qualified
20	Mitrovicë e jugut/Južna Mitrovica	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
21	Novo Brdo/Novobërdë	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
22	Obiliq/Obilić	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
23	Parteš/Partesh	1	1	1	1	4	1	Municipality has been qualified
24	Pejë/Peć	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
25	Podujevë/Podujevo	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
26	Prishtinë/Priština	1	0	0	0	1	0	Municipality has not been qualified
27	Prizren/Prizren	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
28	Rahovec/Orahovac	1	1	1	1	4	1	Municipality has been qualified
29	Ranilug/Ranillug	1	1	0	0	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
30	Severna Mitrovica/Mitrovicë e veriut	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
31	Shtime/Štimlje	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
32	Skenderaj/Srbica	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
33	Štrpce/Shtërpçë	0	0	0	0	0	0	Municipality has not been qualified
34	Suharekë/Suva Reka	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
35	Viti/Vitina	1	1	0	1	3	0	Municipality has not been qualified
36	Vushtrri/Vučitrn	1	0	0	1	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
37	Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok	0	1	0	0	1	0	Municipality has not been qualified
38	Zvečan/Zvečan	0	1	0	1	2	0	Municipality has not been qualified
	Total	33	33	7	28		5	

Appendix 2 MPG Indicators Assessment , by fields, sub-fields, indicators

	Municipalities	Democratic Governance	Municipal Management	Service Delivery	Total points
1	Deçan/Deçane	34	11	18	63
2	Dragash/Dragaš	37	9	14	60
3	Ferizaj/Uroševac	28	16	15	59
4	Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje	11	7	23	41
5	Gjakovë/Đakovica	20	14	18	52
6	Gjilan/Gnjilane	23	9	12	44
7	Glogovac/Glogovac	37	20	18	75
8	Gračanicë/Gračanica	19	4	3	26
9	Hani i Elezit/Elez Han	33	13	15	61
10	Istog/Istok	35	15	18	68
11	Junik/Junik	28	10	14	52
12	Kaçanik/Kaçanik	24	8	7	39
13	Kamenicë/Kamenica	14	9	9	32
14	Klinë/Klina	34	11	13	58
15	Klokot Vrbovac/Kllokot Vërbovc	0	0	0	0
16	Leposaviq/Leposavić	0	0	0	0
17	Lipjan/Lipljan	34	14	24	72
18	Malishevë/Mališevo	13	5	8	26
19	Mamushë/Mamuša	6	10	10	26
20	Mitrovicë e jugut/Južna Mitrovica	29	5	13	47
21	Novobërdë/Novo Brdo	0	0	2	2
22	Obiliq/Obilić	39	18	15	72
23	Partesh/Parteš	7	2	2	11
24	Pejë/Peć	18	11	16	45
25	Podujevë/Podujevo	26	13	12	51
26	Prishtinë/Priština	5	16	8	29
27	Prizren/Prizren	31	22	18	71
28	Rahovec/Orahovac	38	17	17	72
29	Ranilug/Ranillug	9	5	2	16
30	Mitrovicë e veriut/Severna Mitrovica	4	5	4	13
31	Shtime/Štimlje	25	14	9	48
32	Skenderaj/Srbica	37	15	20	72
33	Shtërpçë /Štrpce	0	0	0	0
34	Suharekë/Suva Reka	33	13	12	58
35	Viti/Vitina	19	6	6	31
36	Vushtrri/Vučitrn	34	10	19	63
37	Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok	0	0	0	0
38	Zvečan/Zvečan	0	0	0	0
Average points		20.6	9.4	10.9	40.9
Average in percentage		51.6%	33.6%	36.3%	41.8%

		1. Democratic Governance																1. Total
#	Municipalities	I						II				III						
		IP1	IP2	IP3	IP4	IP5	Tot I	IP6	IP7	IP8	Tot II	IP9	IP10	IP11	IP12	IP13	Tot III	
1	Deçan/Deçane	2	4	2	2	1	11	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	4	0	14	34
2	Dragash/Dragaš	2	4	2	2	2	12	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	4	2	16	37
3	Ferizaj/Uroševac	2	4	0	1	0	7	1	4	4	9	0	3	3	4	2	12	28
4	Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	5	11
5	Gjakovë/Đakovica	2	4	0	1	1	8	0	0	4	4	0	3	3	0	2	8	20
6	Gjilan/Gnjilane	0	4	2	0	2	8	1	0	4	5	2	3	3	0	2	10	23
7	Glogovc/Glogovac	2	4	2	2	2	12	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	4	2	16	37
8	Gračanica/Gračanicë	1	4	0	2	0	7	1	0	4	5	1	3	3	0	0	7	19
9	Hani i Elezit/Elez Han	2	4	2	2	1	11	2	0	4	6	4	3	3	4	2	16	33
10	Istog/Istok	2	4	2	2	1	11	0	4	4	8	4	3	3	4	2	16	35
11	Junik/Junik	2	4	2	2	0	10	2	0	4	6	4	3	3	0	2	12	28
12	Kaçanik/Kaçanik	2	4	2	2	2	12	0	1	4	5	4	3	0	0	0	7	24
13	Kamenicë/Kamenica	1	4	0	0	1	6	0	0	4	4	0	3	1	0	0	4	14
14	Klinë/Klina	2	4	2	2	2	12	1	1	4	6	4	3	3	4	2	16	34
15	Klokot Vrbovac/Klokot Vërbovc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Leposavić/Leposaviq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Lipjan/Lipljan	2	4	2	2	1	11	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	4	0	14	34
18	Malishevë/Mališevo	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	1	0	2	10	13
19	Mamushë/Mamuša	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	6	6
20	Mitrovicë e jugut/Južna Mitrovica	2	4	2	2	1	11	1	4	1	6	4	3	3	2	0	12	29
21	Novo Brdo/Novobërdë	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Obiliq/Obilić	2	4	2	2	1	11	4	4	4	12	4	3	3	4	2	16	39
23	Partesh/Partesh	1	4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	7
24	Pejë/Peć	2	4	0	1	0	7	1	0	4	5	0	3	3	0	0	6	18
25	Podujevë/Podujevo	1	0	0	2	1	4	0	4	4	8	4	3	3	4	0	14	26
26	Prishtinë/Priština	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	5
27	Prizren/Prizren	2	4	2	0	2	10	1	4	2	7	4	3	3	4	0	14	31
28	Rahovec/Orahovac	2	4	2	2	2	12	4	4	4	12	4	3	3	4	0	14	38
29	Ranilug/Ranillug	1	4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	9
30	Severna Mitrovica/Mitrovicë e veriut	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	4
31	Shtime/Štimlje	2	4	0	2	2	10	1	0	4	5	4	3	3	0	0	10	25
32	Skenderaj/Srbica	2	4	2	2	2	12	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	4	2	16	37
33	Štrpce/Shtërpçë	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Suharekë/Suva Reka	2	4	2	2	2	12	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	0	2	12	33
35	Viti/Vitina	0	4	0	2	0	6	1	0	4	5	0	3	3	0	2	8	19
36	Vushtrri/Vučitrn	2	4	2	1	2	11	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	4	0	14	34
37	Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	Zvečan/Zvečan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

[illegible]

		3. Service Delivery													3. Total	Total
#	Municipalities	VII			VIII				IX			X				
		IP22	IP23	Tot VII	IP24	IP25	IP26	Tot VIII	IP27	IP28	Tot IX	IP29	IP30	Tot IX		
1	Deçan/Dečane	4	2	6	1	3	2	6	0	0	0	4	2	6	18	63
2	Dragash/Dragaš	4	2	6	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	3	7	14	60
3	Ferizaj/Uroševac	4	2	6	1	0	0	1	2	2	4	4	0	4	15	59
4	Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje	4	2	6	3	3	0	6	2	2	4	4	3	7	23	41
5	Gjakovë/Đakovica	4	2	6	3	1	0	4	2	2	4	4	0	4	18	52
6	Gjilan/Gnjilane	4	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	4	0	4	12	44
7	Glogovac/Glogovac	4	2	6	3	3	0	6	0	2	2	4	0	4	18	75
8	Gračanica/Gračanicë	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	26
9	Hani i Elezit/Elez Han	4	2	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	4	1	5	15	61
10	Istog/Istok	4	1	5	3	3	0	6	1	2	3	2	2	4	18	68
11	Junik/Junik	4	2	6	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	3	4	14	52
12	Kaçanik/Kaçanik	4	2	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	39
13	Kamenicë/Kamenica	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	4	9	32
14	Klinë/Klina	4	2	6	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	4	13	58
15	Klokot Vrbovac/Klllokot Vërbovc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Leposavić/Leposaviq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Lipjan/Lipljan	4	2	6	3	3	2	8	0	2	2	4	4	8	24	72
18	Malishevë/Mališevo	4	1	5	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	8	26
19	Mamushë/Mamuša	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	4	10	26
20	Mitrovicë e jugut/Južna Mitrovica	4	2	6	1	0	2	3	0	2	2	2	0	2	13	47
21	Novo Brdo/Novobërdë	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
22	Obiliq/Obilić	4	2	6	3	0	0	3	2	2	4	2	0	2	15	72
23	Partesh/Partesh	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	11
24	Pejë/Peć	4	2	6	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	3	7	16	45
25	Podujevë/Podujevo	4	1	5	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	4	12	51
26	Prishtinë/Priština	0	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	4	4	0	0	0	8	29
27	Prizren/Prizren	4	2	6	3	0	0	3	2	2	4	4	1	5	18	71
28	Rahovec/Orahovac	4	2	6	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	4	3	7	17	72
29	Ranilug/Ranillug	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	16
30	Severna Mitrovica/Mitrovicë e veriut	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13
31	Shtime/Štimlje	4	2	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	9	48
32	Skenderaj/Srbica	2	2	4	3	3	0	6	2	0	2	4	4	8	20	72
33	Štrpce/Shtërpçë	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Suharekë/Suva Reka	0	2	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	3	7	12	58
35	Viti/Vitina	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	4	6	31
36	Vushtrri/Vučitrn	4	2	6	1	3	0	4	0	2	2	4	3	7	19	63
37	Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	Zvečan/Zveçan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 Grant Allocation

Based on the results of the minimum conditions and performance indicators, the grant allocation table has been completed. The method of calculation of the Grant is described below and more detailed instructions are given on the rules of the Performance Grant for the fiscal year 2026, based on the performance of the year 2024, appendix 4.

2026 Grant Allocation Table												
				Total value for MPG		3,110,000						
Reward for the municipality with the maximum points:				5.0%		155,500						
Reward for the second municipality with the maximum points:				3.0%		93,300						
Reward for the third municipality with the maximum points:				2.0%		62,200						
						(311,000.00)						
				Available for formula-based allocation:		311,000						
						2,799,000.00						
#	Municipality	Total grant allocation Circular 01/2025	Relative share of total grant	Evaluation results		Calculated and weighted score (4)*(5)*(6)	Relative share of the weighted score	Calculated value for MPG	MPG - as a % of the total grant	Reward	Total value of MPG	MPG - as a % of the total grant
				MC Yes=1 No=0	Evaluation points							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
1	Dečan/Dečane	6,723,133	1.95%	0	63	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
2	Dragash/Dragaš	7,272,043	2.11%	1	60	1.26	28.2%	790,537.56	10.87%	93,300.00	883,837.56	12.15%
3	Ferizaj/Uroševac	21,344,474	6.19%	0	59	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
4	Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje	12,603,039	3.65%	0	41	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
5	Gjakovë/Dakovica	16,347,820	4.74%	0	52	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
6	Gjilan/Gnjilane	16,408,177	4.76%	0	44	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
7	Gllgovc/Glogovac	9,540,816	2.77%	0	75	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
8	Gračanica/Gračanicë	4,644,636	1.35%	0	26	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
9	Hani i Elezit/Elez Han	1,872,179	0.54%	0	61	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
10	Istog/Istok	7,351,781	2.13%	0	68	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
11	Junik/Junik	1,182,544	0.34%	0	52	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
12	Kaçanik/Kaçanik	5,656,269	1.64%	0	39	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
13	Kamenicë/Kamenica	6,452,896	1.87%	0	32	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
14	Klinë/Klina	6,571,819	1.90%	1	58	1.10	24.7%	690,602.92	10.51%	62,200.00	752,802.92	11.46%
15	Klokot Vrbovac/Kllokot Vërbovac	862,258	0.25%	0	0	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
16	Leposavić/Leposaviq	4,496,965	1.30%	0	0	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
17	Lipjan/Lipljan	11,218,401	3.25%	0	72	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
18	Mališevë/Mališevo	8,898,994	2.58%	0	26	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
19	Mamushë/Mamuša	2,050,963	0.59%	1	26	0.15	3.5%	96,615.32	4.71%	-	96,615.32	4.71%
20	Mitrovicë e jugut/Južna Mitrovica	12,898,307	3.74%	0	47	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
21	Novo Brdo/Novobërdë	1,767,421	0.51%	0	2	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
22	Obiliq/Obilić	4,863,405	1.41%	0	72	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
23	Partë/Partesh	1,267,536	0.37%	1	11	0.04	0.9%	25,262.00	1.99%	-	25,262.00	1.99%
24	Pejë/Peć	17,183,146	4.98%	0	45	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
25	Podujevë/Podujevo	14,627,269	4.24%	0	51	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
26	Prishtinë/Prishtina	43,646,608	12.65%	0	29	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
27	Prizren/Prizren	31,387,250	9.10%	0	71	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
28	Rahovec/Orahovac	9,168,059	2.66%	1	72	1.91	42.7%	1,195,982.20	13.05%	155,500.00	1,351,482.20	14.74%
29	Ranilug/Ranillug	1,232,026	0.36%	0	16	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
30	Severna Mitrovica/Mitrovicë e veriut	3,289,654	0.95%	0	13	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
31	Shtime/Štimlje	4,949,597	1.43%	0	48	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
32	Skenderaj/Srbica	8,450,648	2.45%	0	72	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
33	Štrpcë/Shtërpcë	4,398,468	1.27%	0	0	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
34	Suharekë/Suva Reka	9,806,058	2.84%	0	58	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
35	Viti/Vitina	7,705,065	2.23%	0	31	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
36	Vushtrri/Vučitrn	12,210,626	3.54%	0	63	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
37	Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok	2,427,867	0.70%	0	0	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
38	Zvečan/Zveçan	2,240,499	0.65%	0	0	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%
Total/Average		345,018,716	100.00%	5	41	4.48	100.0%	2,799,000	0.81%	311,000	3,110,000	0.90%

So, the Grant allocation table is completed based on the results of the minimum conditions and performance indicators, the grant allocation table is completed.

- Column 2- presents the name of the municipality, while column 3- the total amount of the general Grant according to the budget circular 2026/01
- Column 4 - 'Relative part of the general grant' - is determined by dividing the 'general grant in column (3) with the 'total amount of the general grant for allocation' - the total of column 3.
- Column 5- assessment of minimum conditions. If the municipality has met all four minimum conditions, it receives the value 1, if the municipality has not met the minimum conditions, it receives the value 0, which means that it is not a beneficiary of the Grant.
- Column 6- 'Evaluation points' are calculated by adding up the points earned in each of the 27 performance indicators,
- Column 7- if the municipality has met the minimum conditions, then column 7- ' Weighted performance result ' is calculated by multiplying the 'evaluation points' from column (6) with the 'relative share of the total grant - column (4),
- Column (8): 'The relative part of the weighted result is calculated by dividing the 'weighted performance result for the Municipality - column (7) with the total of the 'weighted result' - the total of column (7);
- Column (10) shows the grant allocation for each municipality as a percentage of the total grant allocation (column 3).
- Column 11 shows the award distribution for the first 3 places,
- Column 12 shows the total value of the municipal performance grant for each municipality after reward allocation.–So column 9 – the reward amount is added to the calculated amount for MPG and gives us the total amount of MPG for each municipality.
- Column 13 shows the allocation of the grant for each municipality as a percentage of the allocation of the general grant (column 3).


Appendix 4 Summary of result of individual performance of the municipalities

Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Dečan/ Dečane

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Dečan/Dečane has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified with an emphasis on the issue) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Dečan/ Dečane in the 29 assessed indicators is 63 points out of a total of 98 possible points (64.29%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 34 points out of a total of 40 possible points (85%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 11 points out of a total of 28 possible points (39.29%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 8 points out of a total of 30 possible points (60%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Municipality of Dragash/Dragaš

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Dragash/Dragaš has been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

The municipality has met the four minimum conditions.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Dragash/Dragaš in the 29 assessed indicators is 60 points out of a total of 98 possible points (61.22%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 37 points out of a total of 40 possible points (92.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 9 points out of a total of 28 possible points (32.14%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Providing services' is 14 points out of a total of 30 possible points (46.67%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Municipality of Ferizaj/Uroševac

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Ferizaj/Uroševac has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Ferizaj/Uroševac in the 29 assessed indicators is 59 points out of a total of 98 possible points (60.20%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 28 points out of a total of 40 possible points (70%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 16 points out of a total of 28 possible points (57.14%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 15 points out of a total of 30 possible points (50%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Municipality of Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Fushë Kosovë/Kosovo Polje has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) and 4 (level of budget spending for the capital investments for 2023 at least 75%) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Fushë Kosova/Kosovo Polje in the 29 assessed indicators is 41 points out of a total of 8 possible points (41.84%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 11 points out of a total of 40 possible points (27.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 10 points out of a total of 28 possible points (25.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 23 points out of a total of 30 possible points (76.67%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Gjakovë/Đakovica Municipality

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Gjakova/ Đakovica has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Gjakova/ Đakovica in the 29 assessed indicators is 52 points out of a total of 98 possible points (53.06%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 20 points out of a total of 40 possible points (50.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 14 points out of a total of 28 possible points (50.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 18 points out of a total of 30 possible points (60.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Gjilan/Gnjilane Municipality

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Gjilan/Gnjilane has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Gjilan/Gnjilane in the 29 assessed indicators is 44 points out of a total of 98 possible points (44.90%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 23 points out of a total of 40 possible points (57.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 9 points out of a total of 28 possible points (32.14%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 12 points out of a total of 30 possible points (40.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Glogovac/Glogovac

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Glogovac/Glogovac has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Glogovac/Glogovac in the 29 assessed indicators is 75 points out of a total of 98 possible points (76.53%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 37 points out of a total of 40 possible points (92.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 20 points out of a total of 28 possible points (71.43%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 18 points out of a total of 30 possible points (60.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Municipality of Gračanicë/Gračanica

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Gračanica has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 2 (municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review the municipal acts assessed as illegal by the supervisory authority) and 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality in the 29 assessed indicators is 26 points out of a total of 98 possible points (26.50%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 19 points out of a total of 40 possible points (47.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 4 points out of a total of 28 possible points (14.29%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 3 points out of a total of 30 possible points (10.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Hani i Elezit/Elez Han

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Hani i Elez/Elez Han has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 4 (level of budget spending for capital investments for 2024 at least 75%) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Hani i Elezit/Elez Han in the 29 assessed indicators is 61 points out of a total of 98 possible points (62.24%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 33 points out of a total of 40 possible points (82.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 13 points out of a total of 26 possible points (46.43%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 15 points out of a total of 26 possible points (50.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Istog/Istok Municipality

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Istog has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Istog/Istok in the 29 assessed indicators is 68 points out of a total of 98 possible points (69.39%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 35 points out of a total of 40 possible points (87.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 15 points out of a total of 28 possible points (53.57%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 18 points out of a total of 30 possible points (60.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Junik

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Junik has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) and 4 (level of budget spending for capital investments for 2024 at least 75%) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Junik in the 29 assessed indicators is 52 points out of a total of 98 possible points (53.06%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 28 points out of a total of 40 possible points (70.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 10 points out of a total of 38 possible points (35.71%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 14 points out of a total of 30 possible points (46.67%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Municipality of Kačanik/Kaçanik

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Kačanik/Kaçanik not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 2 (municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review the municipal acts assessed as illegal by the supervisory authority) and 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of Kačanik/ Kačanik Municipality in the 29 assessed indicators is 39 points out of a total of 98 possible points (39.80%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 24 points out of a total of 40 possible points (60.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 8 points out of a total of 28 possible points (28.57%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 7 points out of a total of 30 possible points (23.33%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant – Municipality of Kamenicë/Kamenica

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Kamenicë/Kamenica has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 4 (level of budget spending for capital investments for 2024 at least 75%) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Kamenica in the 29 assessed indicators is 39 points out of a total of 98 possible points (32.7%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 14 points out of a total of 40 possible points (35%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 9 points out of a total of 28 possible points (32.1%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 9 points out of a total of 30 possible points (30%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the municipal performance grant – Municipality of Klinë/Klina

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Klinë/Klina has been qualified for the municipal performance grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

The municipality has met the four minimum conditions.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Klinë/Klina in the 29 assessed indicators is 58 points out of a total of 98 possible points (59.18%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed under the topic 'Democratic governance' is 34 points out of a total of 40 possible points (85.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed under the topic 'Municipal Management' is 11 points out of a total of 38 possible points (39.29%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed under the topic 'Service delivery' is 13 points out of a total of 30 possible points (43.33%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the municipal performance grant – Municipality of Klokot/Klokot

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The municipality of Klokot/Klokot has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 1 (municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS within the specified deadline), 3 (the auditor's opinion to be at least unmodified with emphasis on the issue) and number 4 (the level of budget spending for capital investments for the year 2024 to be at least 75%) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Klokot/Klokot in the 29 assessed indicators is 0 points out of a total of 98 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic governance' is 0 points out of a total of 40 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 0 points out of a total of 28 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 0 points out of a total of 30 possible points (0.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Leposaviq/Leposavić

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Leposaviq/Leposavić has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 1 (municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS within the specified deadline), 3 (the auditor's opinion to be at least unmodified with emphasis on the issue) and number 4 (the level of budget spending for capital investments for the year 2024 to be at least 75%) have not been met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Leposaviq/Leposavić in the 29 assessed indicators is 0 points out of a total of 98 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 0 points out of a total of 40 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 0 points out of a total of 28 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 0 points out of a total of 30 possible points (0.00%).




Summary of results for the year 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Lipjan/Lipljan

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Lipjan/Lipljan has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality in the 29 assessed indicators is 72 points out of a total of 98 possible points (73.47%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 34 points out of a total of 40 possible points (85.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 14 points out of a total of 28 possible points (50.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 24 points out of a total of 30 possible points (80.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Malishevë/Mališevo

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Malishevë/Mališevo has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Malishevë/Mališevo in the 29 assessed indicators is 26 points out of a total of 98 possible points (26.53%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 13 points out of a total of 40 possible points (32.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 5 points out of a total of 28 possible points (17.86%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 8 points out of a total of 26 possible points (26.53%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Mamushë/Mamuša

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Mamushë/Mamuša has been qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

The Municipality has fulfilled all four minimum conditions.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Mamushë/Mamuša in the 29 assessed indicators is 26 points out of a total of 98 possible points (26.53%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 6 points out of a total of 40 possible points (15.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 10 points out of a total of 28 possible points (35.71%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 10 points out of a total of 30 possible points (33.33%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of South Mitrovica

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of South Mitrovica has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of South Mitrovica in the 29 assessed indicators is 47 points out of a total of 98 possible points (47.96%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 29 points out of a total of 40 possible points (72.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 5 points out of a total of 28 possible points (17.86%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 13 points out of a total of 30 possible points (43.33%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of North Mitrovica

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of North Mitrovica has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of North Mitrovica in the 29 assessed indicators is 13 points out of a total of 98 possible points (13.27%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 4 points out of a total of 40 possible points (10.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators evaluated within the field 'Municipal Management' is 5 point out of a total of 28 possible points (17.86%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 4 points out of a total of 30 possible points (13.33%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Novobërdë/Novo Brdo

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Novobërdë/Novo Brdo has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Novobërdë/Novo Brdo in the 29 assessed indicators is 2 points out of a total of 98 possible points (2.04%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 0 points out of a total of 40 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators evaluated within the field 'Municipal Management' is 2 points out of a total of 28 possible points (6.67%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 0 points out of a total of 30 possible points (0.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Obiliq/Obilić

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Obiliq/Obilić has not been qualified for the municipal performance grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (audit opinion must be at least unmodified with emphasis of issue) is not met.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Obiliq/Obilić in the 29 assessed indicators is 72 points out of a total of 90 possible points (73.47%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 39 points out of a total of 38 possible points (97.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 18 points out of a total of 28 possible points (64.26%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 15 points out of a total of 30 possible points (50.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Partesh/Parteš

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Partesh/Parteš has qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

The Municipality has fulfilled all four minimum conditions.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Partesh/Parteš in the 29 assessed indicators is 11 points out of a total of 90 possible points (11.22%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 7 points out of a total of 40 possible points (17.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 2 points out of a total of 28 possible points (7.14%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 2 points out of a total of 26 possible points (6.67%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Pejë/Peć

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Pejë/Peć has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Pejë/Peć in the 29 assessed indicators is 45 points out of a total of 98 possible points (45.92%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 18 points out of a total of 40 possible points (45.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators evaluated within the field 'Municipal Management' is 11 points out of a total of 28 possible points (39.29%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 16 points out of a total of 30 possible points (53.33%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Podujevë/Podujevo

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Podujevë/Podujevo has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Podujevë/Podujevo in the 29 assessed indicators is 51 points out of a total of 98 possible points (52%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators evaluated within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 26 points out of a total of 40 possible points (65%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 13 points out of a total of 96 possible points (46.4%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 12 points out of a total of 30 possible points (40%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Prishtinë/Priština

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Prishtinë/Priština has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 2 (municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review municipal acts deemed illegal by the supervisory authority), number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) and number 4 (municipalities must have spent at least 75% of the budget for capital investment for 2024) have not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Prishtinë/Priština in the 29 assessed indicators is 29 points out of a total of 98 possible points (29.59%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 5 points out of a total of 40 possible points (12.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 16 points out of a total of 28 possible points (57.14%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 8 points out of a total of 30 possible points (26.67%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Prizren/Prizren

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Prizren/Prizren has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Prizren/Prizren in the 29 assessed indicators is 71 points out of a total of 98 possible points (72.45%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 31 points out of a total of 40 possible points (77.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 22 points out of a total of 28 possible points (78.57%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 18 points out of a total of 30 possible points (60.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Rahovec/Orahovac

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Rahovec/Orahovac has qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

The Municipality has fulfilled all four minimum conditions.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Rahovec/Orahovac in the 29 assessed indicators is 72 points out of a total of 98 possible points (73.47%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 38 points out of a total of 40 possible points (95.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 17 points out of a total of 28 possible points (60.71%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 17 points out of a total of 30 possible points (56.67%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Ranillug/Ranilug

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Ranillug/Ranilug has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) and number 4 (municipalities must have spent at least 75% of the budget for capital investment for 2024) have not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Ranillug/Ranilug in the 29 assessed indicators is 16 points out of a total of 98 possible points (16.33%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 9 points out of a total of 40 possible points (22.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 5 points out of a total of 28 possible points (17.86%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 2 points out of a total of 30 possible points (6.67%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Shtërpcë/Štrpce

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Shtërpcë/Štrpce has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 1 (municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS within the specified deadline), 2 (municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review municipal acts deemed illegal by the supervisory authority), number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) and number 4 (municipalities must have spent at least 75% of the budget for capital investment for 2024) have not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Shtërpcë/Štrpce in the 29 assessed indicators is 0 points out of a total of 98 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 0 points out of a total of 40 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 0 point out of a total of 28 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 0 points out of a total of 30 possible points (0.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Shtime/Štimlje

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Shtime/Štimlje has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2025

The average performance of the Municipality of Shtime/Štimlje in the 29 assessed indicators is 48 points out of a total of 98 possible points (49%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 25 points out of a total of 40 possible points (62.5%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 14 points out of a total of 28 possible points (50%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 9 points out of a total of 30 possible points (30%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Skenderaj/Srbica

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Skenderaj/Srbica has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Skenderaj/Srbica in the 29 assessed indicators is 72 points out of a total of 98 possible points (73.47%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 37 points out of a total of 40 possible points (92.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators evaluated within the field 'Municipal Management' is 15 points out of a total of 28 possible points (53.57%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 20 points out of a total of 30 possible points (66.67%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Suharekë/Suva Reka

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Suharekë/Suva Reka has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Suharekë/Suva Reka in the 29 assessed indicators is 58 points out of a total of 98 possible points (59.18%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 33 points out of a total of 40 possible points (82.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators evaluated within the field 'Municipal Management' is 13 points out of a total of 28 possible points (46.43%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 12 points out of a total of 30 possible points (40.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Viti/Vitina

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Viti/Vitina has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum condition number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) has not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Viti/Vitina in the 29 assessed indicators is 31 points out of a total of 98 possible points (31.63%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 19 points out of a total of 40 possible points (47.50%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 6 points out of a total of 30 possible points (21.43%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators evaluated within the field 'Service Delivery' is 6 points out of a total of 30 possible points (20.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Vushtrri/Vučitrn

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Vushtrri/Vučitrn has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 2 (municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review municipal acts deemed illegal by the supervisory authority), number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) have not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Vushtrri/Vučitrn in the 29 assessed indicators is 63 points out of a total of 98 possible points (64.3%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic Governance' is 34 points out of a total of 40 possible points (85%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 10 points out of a total of 28 possible points (35.7%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 19 points out of a total of 30 possible points (63.3%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Zubin Potok/Zubin Potok has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 1 (municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS within the specified deadline), number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) and number 4 (municipalities must have spent at least 75% of the budget for capital investment for 2024) have not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Zubin Potok/Zubin in the 29 assessed indicators is 0 points out of a total of 98 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic governance' is 0 points out of a total of 40 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 0 points out of a total of 28 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 0 points out of a total of 30 possible points (0.00%).




Summary of results for 2024 for the Municipal Performance Grant - Municipality of Zvečan/Zvečan

Assessment of minimum conditions for 2024

The Municipality of Zvečan/Zvečan has not qualified for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, based on 2024 performance.

Minimum conditions number 1 (municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS within the specified deadline), number 3 (the auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter) have not been fulfilled.




Assessment of performance indicators for 2024

The average performance of the Municipality of Zvečan/Zvečan in the 29 assessed indicators is 0 points out of a total of 98 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 13 indicators assessed within the field 'Democratic governance' is 0 points out of a total of 40 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 7 indicators assessed within the field 'Municipal Management' is 0 points out of a total of 28 possible points (0.00%).

The average performance in the 9 indicators assessed within the field 'Service Delivery' is 0 points out of a total of 30 possible points (0.00%).



Annex 5 Municipal Performance Grant for 2026 - Assessment Methodology

General aspects of the assessment methodology

The 'Rules of the Municipal Performance Grant for the fiscal year 2026', approved by MLGA and the donors involved in the MPG, present the act on the basis of which the assessment for the Municipal Performance Grant for the year 2026 was made. The Rules of the MPG 2026 explain the policies and criteria of the grant as well as provide detailed instructions regarding the performance assessment process.

Period of assessment for the Municipal Performance Grant (Grant Management Cycles)

The period of assessment for the Municipal Performance Grant is divided into 3 main phases:

- Period of assessment - which means the year for which the municipality's performance is assessed. Based on the Rules of MPG 2026, the municipal performance of 2024 is assessed;
- Period of assessment - means the period in which performance assessment is carried out for MPG.
- Period of allocation and use of the grant - means the year in which the grant is made available for use by the municipalities. Based on these Rules, the grant will be available to municipalities in 2026.

Minimum conditions and performance indicators

To qualify for the Municipal Performance Grant for 2026, municipalities must have fulfilled the 4 minimum conditions as follows:

1. Municipalities must have reported the data to MPMS according to the deadline defined in Article 19.2 of the Law on MPMS/MPG²;
2. Municipalities must have complied with the legal obligation to review municipal acts deemed illegal by the supervisory authority³;
3. The auditor's opinion must be at least unmodified, with an emphasis on the matter; and
4. Municipalities must have spent 75% or more of the final budget for capital investments.

Municipalities that have not fulfilled one of the minimum conditions mentioned above will not be able to receive a municipal performance grant, regardless of their points in the performance indicators.

Different indicators have different number of points, depending on the weight and importance attributed to an indicator. Annex 2 of the Rules of the Municipal Performance Grant for FY 2026 contains detailed guidance regarding the allocation of points out of the maximum points. The points are calibrated in such a way so that good and acceptable levels of performance are rewarded, but that for each indicator, very few or no points are allocated for sub-optimal performance, which means that the points actually incentivize high performance rather than

² The deadline for the municipalities to report performance data is 45 calendar days from the moment of receipt of the request for reporting from the ministry.

³ 'Review' for the purposes of the MPG is a procedural criterion, which means the obligation of the municipality to review in the Municipal Assembly the acts deemed as illegal in 2024 by the supervisory authority, without presupposing the final content of the reviewed act.

average performance. This also means that municipalities that perform well in a number of indicators will receive significantly more points than those that show ordinary performance. For all municipalities that have fulfilled the minimum conditions, the Municipal Performance Grant that each municipality receives is based on its relative points achieved on the 29 performance indicators (its points compared to those of all other municipalities).

Data sources for the assessment of minimum conditions and indicators of the Municipal Performance Grant

Performance assessment data for the 2026 grant come from the following sources: i) The MLGA Municipal Performance Management System Report (SMPK) for 2024; ii) The MLGA report on the functioning of the municipalities of Kosovo for the year 2024 and the Report on the assessment of the legality of the acts of the Assemblies of Municipalities 2024, iii) The annual regularity audit (financial and compliance) reports for each municipality for the fiscal year 2024 published by the National Audit Office.

Assessment process - Institutional arrangements

The Municipal Performance Grant Commission (MPGC) and the Technical Group are the bodies responsible for assessing and allocating the Municipal Performance Grant. The Appeals Commission examines and decides on eventual complaints from municipalities. The Appeals Commission does not review complaints related to the source of data used during the performance assessment due to the fact that this process was exhausted in the first phase of the assessment when the data was approved as final and the parties had the complaint instrument available.

MPGC is the highest decision-making body for the grant. This commission takes the final responsibility for the allocation of the grant. The main function of the MPGC is to ensure that the assessment is done in accordance with the criteria and Rules of the Municipal Performance Grant. MPGC cannot change the assessment results and the grant amounts without the full application of the assessment process in accordance with the Grant Rules.

The Municipal Performance Grant Commission consists of:

- 1 member from MLGA (General Secretary/Chairperson),
- 1 member - public official of the management level of the ministry,
- 1 member - public official from the ministry responsible for finance,
- 1 observer from the European Union in Kosovo,
- 1 observer from Sweden,s
- 1 observer from Switzerland, and observers from civil society.

The Technical Group consists of 5 members:

- Four (4) members from the Ministry, employees of the unit responsible for municipal performance or other organizational units;
- One (1) member representing the contributing entities;
- Civil society representatives are also invited to participate in the proceedings of the working group as observers.

The Appeals Commission consists of 3 members:

- 2 representatives from MLGA (one of them the chairperson);
- 1 external representative;
- 2 DEMOS observers.

Members of the Grant Commission or Technical Group cannot also be members of the Appeals Commission in the same assessment period.

Detailed terms of reference for the Technical Group, the Municipal Performance Grant Commission and the Appeals Commission are provided in Annexes 5, 6 and 7 of the Rules of the Municipal Performance Grant for the fiscal year 2025.

Assessment procedures, Preparations

The Technical Group has prepared the Rules of the MPG for the FY 2025. The Rules for FY 2026 have been approved by MLGA and the contributors and then they have been published.

Data collection and assessment

The assessment of the Performance Grant for the fiscal year 2026 was carried out through the Grant module in the Municipal Performance Management Electronic System.

The Technical Group collected the data for the minimum conditions and completed the data of the General Grant for each municipality according to the budget circular 2026/01. This group also carried out quality control of the data transferred to the scorecards and the database.